

REMARKS

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and allowance of the pending claims.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 102

Claims 1-2, 4-12, 39-41, and 43-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated under U.S. Patent No. 6,571,285 to Groath et al. (hereinafter “Groath”).

Applicant respectfully submits that the above mentioned rejections are rendered moot by the claim rejections presented in this communication. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that the rejection be withdrawn and that the pending claims be allowed.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103

A. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) for obviousness over Groath in view of a document entitled “Windows NT Event Logging” by Murray (hereinafter, “Murray”).

B. Claim 42 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) for obviousness over Groath in view of an article entitled “Network PC System Design Guidelines” (hereinafter, “Network article”).

Claim 3 is allowable by virtue of its dependency upon claim 1 which is allowable over Groath for at least the reasons described above in response to the rejection of claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e). Claim 3 is also allowable over the Groath-Murray combination because Murray does not address the deficiencies of

Groath as described above in the response to the rejection of claim 1. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that the rejection be withdrawn and that claim 3 be allowed.

Claim 42 is allowable by virtue of its dependency upon claim 39 which is allowable over Groath for at least the reasons described above in response to the rejection of claim 39. Claim 42 is also allowable over the Groath-Network article combination because the Network article does not address the deficiencies of Groath as described above in the response to the rejection of claim 39. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that the rejection be withdrawn and that claim 42 be allowed.

New Claims

Claims 46-48 are added as a result of this communication. Applicant respectfully submits that claims 46-48 are not taught or suggested by the art of record.

Conclusion

Applicant submits that, for the reasons provided above, the pending claims are in condition for allowance. Should any matter in this case remain unresolved, the undersigned attorney respectfully requests a telephone conference with the Examiner to resolve any such outstanding matter.

Respectfully Submitted,

Date: 1/2/06

Paul W. Mitchell
Reg. No. 44,453
Lee & Hayes, PLLC
(509)324-9256 x 237